



Effective Reporting Systems, fair use of safety information

XII OACI/ASPA Regional Seminar México, 14-15 May 2014

Fran Pinies
SEPLA SRS Manager

Who we are

SEPLA Safety Reporting System

- > SRS: Independent, Confidential and no punitive organization
- > A non-political and non-profit making organization
- > Purpose of capturing the greatest number of occurrences or incidents, and acquisition of all relevant safety information.
- Identify gaps, assess risks and propose strategies to mitigate them



SRS - Aims

> Promote a Safety Culture

- General Aviation
- Executive/Corporate Aviation
- Helicopters HEMS/SAR
- Aerial works Forest Fire Fighting
- Airline ATP
- ATM Controllers*

Promote a Reporting Culture

- Dissemination
- Information
- Training
- Confidence



SRS - Collaboration agreements

> SNS

- Received management training in occurrence report
- Share tools ECCAIRS tool (Taxonomy)
- Provide and share occurrence disidentified information

> AESA

Safety seminars

> COPAC - APROCTA

Training seminars

> ALL

Use of logos in communication campaigns (Posters and information leaflets)



Contents

- → Effective system path
- → Legislative framework
- → Just Culture principles
- → Challenges



Effective system path

Information

People are knowledgeable about the human, technical and organizational factors that determine the safety of the system as a whole.

<u>Willingness</u>

People are willing to report their errors and experiences.

Effective safety reporting

Accountability

People are encouraged (and rewarded) for providing essential safety-related information. However, there is a clear line that differentiates between acceptable and unacceptable behaviour.

Flexibility

People can adapt reporting when facing unusual circumstances, shifting from the established mode to a direct mode thus allowing information to quickly reach the appropriate decision-making level.

Learning

People have the competence to draw conclusions from safety information systems and the will to implement major reforms.



Information

People are knowledgeable about the human, technical and organizational factors that determine the safety of the system as a whole.

Education and training, Safety seminars



Flexibility

People can adapt reporting when facing unusual circumstances, shifting from the established mode to a direct mode thus allowing information to quickly reach the appropriate decision-making level.

Mandatory & Voluntary Safety incident Reporting System thru one channel...Independent



Willingness

People are willing to report their errors and experiences.

Trust and confidence



Non punitive scheme

Accountability

People are encouraged (and rewarded) for providing essential safety-related information. However, there is a clear line that differentiates between acceptable and unacceptable behaviour.



Feed back, learning process ...

Learning

People have the competence to draw conclusions from safety information systems and the will to implement major reforms.



Non punitive scheme

<u>Accountability</u>

People are encouraged (and rewarded) for providing essential safety-related information. However, there is a clear line that differentiates between acceptable and unacceptable behaviour.



- ✓ International
- ✓ European
- ✓ National



- International
- → ICAO Annex 19 *New
- Chapter 3.1
 - d) State safety promotion.
- Chapter 5.1
 Safety Data Collection
 Mandatory & Voluntary Safety incident Reporting System
- ❖ 5.3.1 A voluntary incident reporting system shall be non-punitive



- International
- → ICAO Annex 19 can be improved
- Key element I Mandatory & Voluntary *incident* reporting systems REACTIVE
- Definition of incident: An occurrence, other than an accident, associated with the operation of an aircraft which affects or could affect the safety of operation



- International
- → ICAO Annex 19 can be improved
- Key element I

"Incident" must be replaced by "safety"

Mandatory & Voluntary *SAFETY* reporting systems *Preventive and Proactive*



- International
- → ICAO Annex 19 can be improved
- Key element II

5.3.2 Recommendation.—States should not make available or use safety data referenced in 5.1 or 5.2 for other than safety-related purposes, *unless exceptionally, an appropriate authority determines in accordance with their national legislation*, the value of its disclosure or use in any particular instance, outweighs the adverse impact such action may have on aviation safety.



- International
- → ICAO Annex 19 can be improved
- Key element II
- 5.3.2 Recommendation. Standard States should not make available or use safety data referenced in 5.1 or 5.2 for other than safety-related purposes. *unless exceptionally, an appropriate authority determines in accordance with their national legislation, the value of its disclosure or use in any particular instance, outweighs the adverse impact such action may have on aviation safety.*



- International
- → ICAO Annex 19 can be improved
- Key element III
- 5.3.1 A **voluntary** incident reporting system shall be non-punitive and afford protection to the sources of the information

A non-punitive environment is fundamental to voluntary reporting

And what about **mandatory** reporting? What is a "non-punitive" environment?



- > European Legal Framework
 - ✓ Directive 2003/42
 - ✓ EU 376/2014 ORR *New

Art. 15 & 16 Confidentiality & Protection of the information

- √ Regulation 1321/2007
- √ Regulation 1330/2007
- ✓ Regulation 859/2008 (EU-OPS1)



- > European Legal Framework cont.
 - √ Key points EU 376/2014 ORR
 - ≥ 29. State Safety Programme & State Safety Plan
 - > 30. SPI State Performance Indicators
 - > 13. High Quality information

"It is essential to have high-quality and complete data, as analysis and trends derived from inaccurate data may show misleading results and may lead to effort being focused on inappropriate action."



- > European Legal Framework cont.
 - √ Key points EU 376/2014 ORR
 - ≥ 33. Confidentiality
 - > 34. Protection of the reporter
 - > 35. Protection and desidentification
 - > 37. Non punitive, Safety Culture



> National Framework

- → Royal Decree 1334/2005
- →LSA Regulation 1/2011
- Art. 11 No punitive and Confidential
- Art. 12 Organization & Authority No punitive
- Art. 18 Data collection, FDM, protocol
- →RD 995/2013 SSP Sate Safety Programme Dec 2013
 - → PASO State Safety Plan SPI * June 2014
- →Other State Regulations



- ✓ International
- ✓ European
- ✓ National Framework art. 19
 - ... and national justice role



Just Culture principles

- Just Culture principles as core element with comprehensive and systematic implementation Extremely important to educate and train Judiciary Branch into Just Culture Principles
- Stricter protection of the safety information and of the occurrence reporter is needed to avoid information leaks out during a judicial process ...



- ☐ State Safety promotion in the organizations, professionals and ... at judicial level
- ☐ How to interface with judicatory



- ☐ Provide mandatory safety reporting system with the same level of protection and provide the same level of protection to the sources of the information, as voluntary
- Mandatory reporting incident systems shall be non punitive
- ☐ Independent system...



- Quality of information
- ☐ Automatic capture of the information FDM but...
- Unable to capture Human Factors related to the event



- ☐ FDM needs to develop a balance protocol to protect the sources of the information
- Protocol agreement between organization and professionals is mandatory at national level by law (LSA)



- ☐ New challenges have arrivedFRMS Fatigue Risk Management System
- □ Human factor incident, fatigue
- Low rate of notifications
- New regulation will come in force, FTL based in fatigue researches

Muchas gracias

XII OACI/ASPA Regional Seminar México, 14-15 May 2014

Fran Pinies SEPLA SRS Manager coordinador@srs.org.es



